

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
5

6 United States of America,  
7 Plaintiff,  
8 vs.  
9 Eduardo Ruben Lopez,  
10 Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-CR-00055-CDS-DJA  
**ORDER**

11 Defendant moves the Court to seal his Motion to Compel Production of the Subpoena on  
12 Leniency Company A (“Maxim”), Declaration of Mark Krotoski, Exhibit A accompanying the  
13 Krotoski Declaration, and the Index of Exhibit A (“Proposed Sealed Materials”). (ECF No. 329). The  
14 Court finds that Defendant has demonstrated compelling reasons to grant the motion and keep the  
15 documents under seal. See *Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir.  
16 2006); see *Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Group, LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092 (9th Cir. 2016). Defendant  
17 asserts that the Proposed Sealed Materials, if revealed, would reveal his defense strategies and  
18 prejudice him. See, e.g., *United States v. Sleugh*, 896 F.3d 1007, 1010, 1012 (9th Cir. 2018); see also  
19 *United States v. Tomison*, 969 F. Supp. 587, 593-94 (E.D. Cal. 1997) (same).

20 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Defendant’s motion to seal (ECF No. 329) is  
21 **granted**. The documents filed under seal at ECF No. 328 shall remain under seal.  
22

23 DATED: November 20, 2024

24   
25 \_\_\_\_\_  
26 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS  
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
28